

# TREE EVALUATION METHOD FOR PRESERVATION ORDERS (TEMPO)

## SURVEY DATA SHEET & DECISION GUIDE (Refer to guidance note for definitions)

**Surveyor:** Mark Harrison      **Date:** 25/06/10      **Species:** Sycamore  
**Location:** Hill House, Workhouse Lane Bloxham  
**TPO Ref (if applicable):** \_\_\_\_\_ **Tree/Group No:** \_\_\_\_\_ **Owner (if known):** \_\_\_\_\_

### Part 1: Amenity assessment

**a) Condition & suitability for TPO** (*Relates to existing context and is intended to apply to severe irremediable defects only*)

<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	5) Good Highly suitable	Notes <i>Tree is in reasonable condition with minor dead wood throughout the crown normal for the spp.</i>
<input type="checkbox"/>	3) Fair Suitable	
<input type="checkbox"/>	1) Poor Unlikely to be suitable	
<input type="checkbox"/>	0) Dead Unsuitable	
<input type="checkbox"/>	0) Dying/dangerous* Unsuitable	
<b>Sub Total</b>		<b>5</b>

**b) Retention span (in years) & suitability for TPO** (*Includes trees which are an existing or near future nuisance, including those clearly outgrowing their context, or which are significantly negating the potential of other trees of better quality*)

<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	5) 100+ Highly suitable	Notes <i>Tree approximately 15m from property - canopy not yet within 5m. Could attain a long life with minor works in the future to prevent damage to the property.</i>
<input type="checkbox"/>	4) 40-100 Very suitable	
<input type="checkbox"/>	2) 20-40 Suitable	
<input type="checkbox"/>	1) 10-20 Just suitable	
<input type="checkbox"/>	0) <10* Unsuitable	
<b>Sub Total</b>		<b>5</b>

**c) Relative public visibility & suitability for TPO** - *Consider realistic potential for future visibility with changed land use*

<input type="checkbox"/>	5) Very large trees with some visibility, or prominent large trees Highly suitable	Notes <i>Clearly visible from Bloxham high street</i>
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	4) Large trees, or medium trees clearly visible to the public Suitable	
<input type="checkbox"/>	3) Medium trees, or large trees with limited view only Suitable	
<input type="checkbox"/>	2) Young, small trees, or medium/large trees visible only with difficulty Barely suitable	
<input type="checkbox"/>	1) Trees not visible to the public, regardless of size Probably unsuitable	
<b>Sub Total</b>		<b>4</b>

**d) Other factors** - *Trees must have accrued 7 or more points (with no zero score) to qualify*

<input type="checkbox"/>	5) Principal components of arboricultural features, or veteran trees	Notes <i>No additional factors to consider.</i>
<input type="checkbox"/>	4) Tree groups, or members of groups important for their cohesion	
<input type="checkbox"/>	3) Trees with identifiable historic, commemorative or habitat importance	
<input type="checkbox"/>	2) Trees of particularly good form, especially if rare or unusual	
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	1) Trees with none of the above additional redeeming features	
<b>Sub Total</b>		<b>1</b>

### Part 2: Expediency assessment - *Trees must have accrued 9 or more points to qualify*

<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	5) Immediate threat to tree	Notes <i>Section 211 notice received to undertake 25% crown reduction</i>
<input type="checkbox"/>	3) Foreseeable threat to tree	
<input type="checkbox"/>	2) Perceived threat to tree	
<input type="checkbox"/>	1) Precautionary only	
<b>Sub Total</b>		<b>5</b>

### Part 3: Decision guide

0 - Do not apply TPO    1-6 TPO indefensible    7-10 Does not merit TPO    11-14 TPO defensible    15+ Definitely merits TPO

**Total Score**    **20**

**Decision:** Merrits TPO

*Comments*